


**BEFORE THE BOARD OF HEALING ARTS
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

FILED
JUN 28 2007 

KS State Board of Healing Arts

In the Matter of)
Steven Rolland, DC)
)
Application for Kansas License)
_____)

Docket No. 07-HA00098

FINAL ORDER

NOW ON THIS 9th day of June, 2007, this matter comes on for hearing. Applicant Steven Rolland appears in person. Kelli J. Stevens, Litigation Counsel appears for the Board.

Having heard the statements and arguments of the parties, and with the agency record before it, the Board finds, concludes and orders as follows:

1. The Board granted Applicant a chiropractic license in an order dated April 30, 2003. The issue in the case was whether the doctor was sufficiently rehabilitated to warrant the public trust in light of a felony conviction, and whether his seven year absence from practice in the United States rendered him professionally incompetent. The order granting the license required that Applicant's practice be monitored. Board Member Vinton K. Arnett, D.C. agreed to monitor Applicant's practice under provisions to be determined by Applicant and Board Counsel.

2. Based upon Applicant's request at the time of renewal, his license was converted to an Inactive license in February 2004. The license was converted to an Active license at Applicant's request in August 2004.

3. The Board cancelled Applicant's license on February 1, 2005 based upon his failure to seek renewal of the license.

4. During the period of licensure, no monitoring plan was in place, and Dr. Arnett did not monitor Applicant's practice.

5. Applicant admitted that he practiced chiropractic between March and November of 2004. This practice occurred without monitoring and from March through August while the license was Inactive.

6. Applicant also admitted that he maintained a business in Kansas City, Kansas identified the House of Manual Medicine. While the exact date that this was opened is not identified in the record, Applicant's testimony indicates that it has continued in existence since it was opened. He maintained that after his license was cancelled he only practiced massage therapy at that location.

7. During the hearing, Applicant disclosed that he uses a business card that identifies himself as a Doctor of Chiropractic and indicating that he is a professional massage therapist. The business card also identifies his practice as the House of Manual Medicine. The date that the business card was printed is not known. Applicant had several cards on his person at the hearing and distributed them to Board members. It is reasonable to infer that Applicant had the intent to distribute and has distributed these business cards to the public after the cancellation of his license, and the Board makes that finding.

8. The Board finds that Applicant violated the April 30, 2003 order by practicing chiropractic without monitoring of his practice in 2004, and concludes that this conduct is ground to deny the application as provided by K.S.A. 65-2836(k).

9. The Board finds that Applicant has represented that his chiropractic practice, if properly licensed, is medical in nature. The Board concludes that this conduct is a ground to deny an application as provided by K.S.A. 65-2836(g), and is unprofessional conduct as defined by K.S.A. 65-2837(b)(4).

10. The Board finds that Applicant has maintained a location for the practice of the healing arts without a license, and concludes that this conduct violates the healing arts act, specifically at K.S.A. 65-2867, and is a ground to deny an application as provided by K.S.A. 65-2836(f).

11. The Board finds that Applicant has practiced chiropractic while he possessed an Inactive license, and concludes that this is a ground to deny an application as provided by K.S.A. 65-2836(g).

12. The Board finds that Applicant represented to the public that he performed massage therapy as a part of his chiropractic treatment. The Board concludes that massage is not the practice of the healing arts, as provided by K.S.A. 65-2872(f) if performed for muscle conditioning, relaxation or figure improvement. If offered for a therapeutic purpose beyond that statute, the Board concludes that the service is the practice of the healing arts.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Application of Steven B. Rolland for a license to practice chiropractic is denied.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicant immediately cease representing to the public, through any advertisement, that he is authorized to practice any branch of the healing arts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Applicant immediately cease maintaining an office or location for the practice of the healing arts.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this is a final order. A final order is effective upon service. A party to an agency proceeding may seek judicial review of a final order by filing a petition in the District Court as authorized by K.S.A. 77-601, et seq. Reconsideration of a final order is not a prerequisite to judicial review. A petition for judicial review is not timely unless filed within 30 days following service of the final order. A copy of any petition for judicial review must be served upon the Board's Executive Director at 235 SW. Topeka Blvd., Topeka, KS 66603.

Dated this 28th Day of June, 2007.

Kansas State Board of Healing Arts


Lawrence T. Buening, Jr.
Executive Director

Certificate of Service

I certify that a true copy of the foregoing Final Order was served this 28th day of June, 2007, by depositing the same in the United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, and addressed to:

Steven B. Rolland
2530 W 47th Street Apt 10
Kansas City, KS 66103

And a copy was hand-delivered to the office of

Kelli J. Stevens
Kansas State Board of Healing Arts
235 S. Topeka Blvd.
Topeka, KS 66603

