

*Kansas Board of Healing Arts
Tucker Poling, General Counsel
785-296-8066
Tucker.Poling@ks.gov*

**Kansas Administrative Regulations
Economic Impact Statement
For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

K.A.R. 100-28a-14

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt along with the following to the Division of the Budget.

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This regulation revises availability requirements for a supervising or substitute physician of a physician assistant at different practice locations.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)

Not mandated by the federal government.

III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

The revised regulation makes the requirement less restrictive. The revision is likely to enhance business activity because it allows for more flexibility.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

Although the agency does not employ an economist, the lay opinion of the agency staff is that the economic effect on the affected businesses and business sector

APPROVED

OCT 08 2018

would be positive. The revised regulation is more flexible and less restrictive. It is therefore likely to reduce compliance and hiring costs for affected businesses.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Businesses that employ physician assistants.

D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The benefit of the revision is that it allows for more flexibility including availability by electronic means. No additional costs are expected.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

This revision is itself a measure taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of a regulation on business and economic development while maintaining a high standard of public protection.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$No implementation cost to this revision. As noted above, this revision would involve less burden on licensees and businesses that employ them. This estimate is based on lay opinion and rationale as described above.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

\$There are no discernible costs to business, local governments, or members of the public, other than routine agency and state resources involved in the process of promulgating regulations. Once instituted, this revision would involve no increased costs

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES NO

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

N/A

APPROVED

OCT 08 2018

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES NO

- G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.**

The agency does not believe this revision will meaningfully impact the revenue of cities or school districts.

- H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

The agency consulted with the Kansas Academy of Physician Assistants, the Kansas Medical Association, the Kansas Association of Osteopathic Medicine, as well as the members of the agency's physician assistant advisory council, which is comprised of practitioners, business owners, and members of the public. Further, the agency intends to comply with all public hearing requirements involved in the promulgation process.

- I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).**

This is not an environmental regulation.

APPROVED

OCT 08 2018