

*Kansas Board of Healing Arts  
Tucker Poling, General Counsel  
785-296-8066  
Tucker.Poling@ks.gov*

**Kansas Administrative Regulations  
Economic Impact Statement  
For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

**K.A.R. 100-6-2 & 100-6-2a**

**I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

These regulations deal with postgraduate training requirements and resident active licenses, for the purpose of evaluating applicants for licensure in medicine and surgery.

**II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. (If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)**

Not mandated by the federal government.

**III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:**

**A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;**

The revised regulations will tend to enhance business activity by streamlining requirements and making them consistent with existing practices in the industry.

**B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;**

Although the agency does not employ an economist, the lay opinion of the agency staff is that the economic effect on the affected businesses and business sector would be positive. The revised regulations are simpler and more consistent with current practices in the industry. These regulations clarify already existing processes and requirements. Therefore, no net implementation and compliance

APPROVED

AUG 17 2020

costs are contemplated other than routine agency resources used in regulation promulgation process.

**C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;**

Although licensees are the only parties that would be directly affected, businesses that employ physicians would be affected.

**D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;**

The revised regulations are simpler and more consistent with current practices in the industry. Further, they give more clear guidance and notice to applicants as to requirements. No net implementation and compliance costs are contemplated other than routine agency resources used in regulation promulgation process.

**E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;**

These revisions themselves are measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of a regulation on business and economic development while maintaining a high standard of public protection.

**F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$No net implementation cost to this revision. This estimate is based on lay opinion and rationale as described above.

**An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.**

\$There are no discernible net costs to business, local governments, or members of the public, other than routine agency and state resources involved in the process of promulgating regulations.

**Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?**

YES  NO

**Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.**

This estimate is based on lay opinion and rationale as described above.

APPROVED

AUG 17 2020

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES  NO

- G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.**

The agency does not believe this revision will meaningfully impact the revenue of cities or school districts.

- H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

These revisions have been the subject of communications over several years in regard to the need to update the healing arts regulations and bring them into more consistency with standard practices and educational programs in the industry. The agency consulted with representatives from the University of Kansas School of Medicine, the Kansas Medical Association, and other stakeholders. Further, it should be noted that the Board of Healing Arts is comprised of medical practitioners, business owners, and members of the public. Further, the agency intends to comply with all public hearing requirements involved in the promulgation process.

- I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).**

This is not an environmental regulation.

APPROVED

AUG 17 2020

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET